

Table of Contents

1	Executive Summary	13
1.1	Context	13
1.2	Defining the ICT professional	14
1.3	Framework Proposals	15
1.3.1	Value Driven Framework	16
1.3.2	Action Points	18
1.4	Conclusion	18
2	Introduction.....	20
2.1	Project Objectives.....	20
2.2	The Imperative for Change.....	20
2.3	Target Audience	21
2.4	Limitations and Expectations.....	21
2.5	Steps Achieved.....	22
2.5.1	Phase 1	22
2.5.2	Phase 2	23
2.6	Document Structure	23
3	Scope and Methodology.....	25
3.1	Approach.....	25
3.2	Stakeholders.....	27
3.3	Data sources.....	27
3.3.1	Studies and Reports	28
3.3.2	Interviews	28
3.3.3	Meetings and workshops	28
3.3.4	Website	29
3.4	Defining the ICT Professional.....	29
3.4.1	Original definition (Phase 1)	29
3.4.2	Updated definition (Phase 2)	30
3.4.3	Rationale for the changes to the definition	31
	Project Phase 1	34
4	Desktop Research	34
4.1	Bodies of Knowledge.....	34
4.1.1	Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK®)	35
4.1.2	Software Engineering Body of Knowledge (SWEBOK)	37
4.1.3	Canada’s Association of Information Technology Professionals (CIPS BOK) British Computer Society (BCS) IT Diploma Syllabus	39

4.1.4	Information Technology Infrastructure Library (ITIL)	41
4.1.5	COBIT 4.1	42
4.1.6	ACM/IEEE Computer Science Curriculum	43
4.1.7	Capability Maturity Model Integrated (CMMI®)	44
4.1.8	Prince2	46
4.1.9	IT Security Essential Body of Knowledge	48
4.1.10	Information Technology Capability Maturity Framework (IT-CMF)	50
4.1.11	TOGAF	52
4.2	Competences	56
4.2.1	Introduction to Competences	56
4.2.2	Competence Frameworks in Europe	58
4.2.3	Competence Frameworks outside Europe	67
4.3	Education and Training.....	72
4.3.1	Introduction to Certification	72
4.3.2	Certification Initiatives in Europe	74
4.3.3	Certification Initiatives in the US	83
4.3.4	Certification Initiatives in India	84
4.3.5	Certification Initiatives in Japan	85
4.3.6	Certification Bodies Examples	86
4.3.7	Certification Vignette	87
4.3.8	Standards	89
4.3.9	Qualification Framework Initiatives	94
4.3.10	Non Formal Learning and Informal Learning	97
4.4	Ethics/Codes of Conduct.....	102
4.4.1	Introduction	102
4.4.2	Ethics Initiatives in Europe	104
4.4.3	Ethics Initiatives Worldwide	105
4.4.4	Sample Professional Bodies Codes of Ethics Examined	108
4.5	CIO/ICT Manager Training.....	111
4.5.1	Understanding the ICT Training Landscape	112
4.5.2	Certification, Qualification and Education.	114
4.5.3	Shaping the Current Approach to Professional Training	114
4.5.4	Application of the e-Competence Framework	116
4.5.5	Comparison of Postgraduate ICT Education	118
4.5.6	Delivering CIO / ICT Manager Education	123
4.5.7	Financing CIO / ICT Manager Education	123
5	Desktop Analysis.....	124
5.1	Analysis of Bodies of Knowledge (BOKs)	124
5.1.1	Issue 1- large number of bodies of knowledge	124
5.1.2	Issue 2 - bodies of knowledge are not mapped to roles or jobs	125
5.1.3	Issue 3 - bodies of knowledge provide an incomplete view	126

5.1.4	Issue 4 - Language delays	127
5.1.5	Issue 5 - body of knowledge maintenance	127
5.1.6	Major trends and developments	128
5.1.7	Body of knowledge creation	128
5.1.8	Legal financial and framework conditions	129
5.1.9	Further investigation	129
5.2	Analysis of Competence Initiatives	130
5.2.1	Issue 1 - Balanced Skillset	130
5.2.2	Issue 2 - Value Proposition / Demand-driven	131
5.2.3	Issue 3 - Multiple stakeholder approach	133
5.2.4	Issue 4 - Timeline / innovation	133
5.2.5	Issue 5 - Access and Usability	134
5.2.6	Eco-system / Sustainability	135
5.3	Analysis of Education and Training (inc Certifications, Standards and Qualifications Initiatives)	136
5.3.1	Issue 1 - Partnership approach	136
5.3.2	Issue 2 - Developing harmonised certification schemes	138
5.3.3	Issue 3 - Common framework	139
5.3.4	Issue 4 - Stakeholder viewpoint of certifications	140
5.3.5	Issue 5 - Standards	140
5.3.6	Final Thoughts on Certification, Standards and Qualifications	141
5.4	Analysis of Ethics Initiatives	142
5.4.1	Issue 1 - Universal Code for the ICT Profession	142
5.4.2	Issue 2 - Topics for Inclusion in Ethical Codes	142
5.4.3	Issue 3 - Sanctions	143
5.4.4	Final Thoughts on Ethics Initiatives	144
5.5	Analysis of CIO / ICT Manager Training.....	144
5.5.1	Issue 1 - Incentivising Participation	145
5.5.2	Issue 2 - Defining the level for training	145
5.5.3	Issue 3 - Ratifying and Accrediting CIO / ICT Management Training	146
5.5.4	Issue 4 - Financial Support	146
5.5.5	Issue 5 - Lifelong Learning	147
5.5.6	Final Thoughts on CIO / ICT Manager Training	147
6	Interview methodology	149
6.1	The online survey	149
6.1.1	Targeted respondents	149
6.1.2	Stakeholders and their interests	150
6.1.3	Bodies of knowledge	150
6.1.4	Certifications, qualifications and standards	150
6.1.5	Competences	151
6.1.6	Ethics	151

6.1.7	CIO Training	151
6.2	Testing.....	151
6.2.1	Results analysis	151
7	Interview results.....	152
7.1	Bodies of Knowledge.....	156
7.1.1	Industry recognised bodies of knowledge	156
7.1.2	In-house or self-developed bodies of knowledge	159
7.1.3	The nature and structure of bodies of knowledge	159
7.2	Competence frameworks	159
7.2.1	Framework awareness	159
7.2.2	Framework adoption	160
7.2.3	Balanced Skillset	160
7.2.4	Benefits of an ICT Competence framework	161
7.2.5	Obstacles to adoption	163
7.3	Certifications, Standards and Qualifications.....	163
7.3.1	Perceived Importance of ICT Certifications and Formal Academic Qualifications	163
7.3.2	Motivators and Deterrents in Acquiring ICT Certifications/Qualifications	165
7.3.3	Industry-Academia Collaborations	167
7.3.4	National and European Qualification Frameworks	168
7.3.5	ICT Certifications/Qualifications Support for Worker Mobility	169
7.3.6	Involvement in ICT Certification/Qualification Development	170
7.3.7	Mapping of ICT Certifications to Competence Frameworks	170
7.4	Ethics	172
7.4.1	Adherence to Code of Ethics/Conduct	172
7.4.2	Content of Ethical Codes	173
7.4.3	Consequence of Non-compliance	174
7.4.4	Need for Greater Enforcement Mechanisms	175
7.5	CIO / ICT Manager Training.....	175
7.5.1	Understanding the demand for CIO training	175
7.5.2	Regulating the role of CIO	175
7.5.3	Understanding the demand for training required for CIOs	176
7.5.4	Demand-driven Education and Training	177
7.5.5	Education Qualification Mix	178
7.6	A European ICT Profession.....	179
7.6.1	Benefits of a Europe-wide ICT Profession	179
7.6.2	Risks of a Europe-wide ICT Profession	179

Project Phase 2	181
8 Overview of the Framework for ICT Professionalism	181
8.1 Framework “Users”	181
8.2 Education	182
8.3 e-Competence framework	182
8.4 Self-Assessment.....	182
8.5 Mapping Process	182
8.6 Europass CV / Portfolio of Evidence.....	183
8.7 Foundational Meta Level ICT Body of Knowledge	183
8.8 ICT Capability Analysis.....	183
8.9 Codes of Ethics / Conduct	184
8.10 Register of ICT Education (not shown in diagram).....	184
8.11 One size does not fit all.....	185
8.12 European Commission involvement.....	185
8.13 Industry alignment	185
8.14 Stakeholder value.....	186
9 Professionalism in practice.....	190
9.1 Differing perspectives of a ‘professional’ across Europe	190
9.2 Light-touch approach.....	190
9.3 Professionalism assessment	190
9.3.1 Education as a foundation for change	191
9.3.2 Multiple Entry Points	192
9.3.3 Self-Assessment	192
9.3.4 Industry alignment	194
9.3.5 Progression examples	194
9.3.6 Foundational Meta-Level ICT Body of Knowledge (BoK)	195
9.3.7 Mapping of the EQF to the Professionalism Framework	196
9.3.8 External validation	196
10 Proposed Framework for ICT Professionalism.....	198
10.1 Bodies of Knowledge.....	198
10.1.1 Development of Bodies of Knowledge	198
10.1.2 Use of Bodies of Knowledge	199
10.1.3 Industry Standards	199
10.2 Ethics	200
10.2.1 Meta Framework of Ethical Issues	200
10.2.2 Adherence to Codes of Ethics	201
10.2.3 Representing the Profession	201
10.3 Education and Training.....	202
10.3.1 Non-formal and Informal learning	203
10.3.2 Formal learning – Importance of Collaboration	203
10.3.3 Mapping to Frameworks	203

10.3.4	Mapping of Certifications/Qualifications from Certification providers/training institutions	204
10.3.5	Mapping of Qualifications/Certifications from Higher Education Institutions	204
10.3.6	Creating a Register of ICT Education	205
10.3.7	Recognising the EU Professionals Qualifications Directive (2005) and its Potential Future Implications for IT	206
10.4	Competences	207
10.4.1	Career paths	207
10.4.2	ICT Role Profiles and e-CF	208
10.4.3	ICT Capability Analysis	208
10.4.4	The role of multi-stakeholder forums	208
10.4.5	Embedding the e-CF	208
10.4.6	Spectrum of Adoption	209
10.4.7	Compliance	209
10.5	ICT Professionalism Framework (overview).....	210
11	Senior ICT Manager Training and Education.....	212
11.1	Introduction.....	212
11.2	Delivering ICT Manager Training – Case Examples	212
11.2.1	University of Glasgow	212
11.2.2	National University of Ireland Maynooth	213
11.2.3	Innovation Value Institute	214
11.2.4	INSEAD	215
11.2.5	Nyenrode Business University	216
11.2.6	EuroCIO	216
11.3	Identifying Best Practice and Future Trends in Education.....	217
11.4	Recommendations for CIO Training.....	221
12	Recommendations.....	223
12.1	Translating research into actions.....	223
12.1.1	Action Point 1. Establish a sustainable operating model for ICT Professionalism	223
12.1.2	Action Point 2: Form/Mobilise stakeholder entities at national and European level	224
12.1.3	Action Point 3: Development of a foundational ICT body of knowledge meta-model	226
12.1.4	Action Point 4: Incentivise mapping of educational and training offerings to the foundational ICT body of knowledge	227
12.1.5	Action Point 5: Drive broad adoption within organizations and to practitioners	228
12.1.6	Action Point 6: Develop ICT Professional Career Streams	229
12.1.7	Action Point 7: Investigate synergies with organizational ICT capability gap analysis and improvement frameworks	230

12.1.8	Action Point 8: Map education courses to the e-CF to provide increased transparency	232
12.1.9	Action Point 9: Promote confidence in educational mapping to the e-CF through auditing	233
12.1.10	Action Point 10: Validate Non-formal Education and Informal Education	234
12.1.11	Action Point 11: Align national codes of ethics / conduct for ICT professionals with a European meta-framework of ethical issues	235
12.1.12	Action Point 12: Drive adoption of ethics code among ICT professionals	236
12.1.13	Action Point 13: Enhance links to parallel professionalism initiatives outside of Europe	237
12.1.14	Action Point 14: Launch regular global ICT Professionalism workshop	238
12.2	Roadmap	239
Appendix A Sample Questionnaire		241
A.1	Introduction.....	241
A.2	ICT Professional Definition.....	241
A.3	Participant Information	242
A.4	Bodies of Knowledge.....	244
A.5	Certifications, Qualifications and Standards	245
A.6	Competences	247
A.7	CIO Training.....	250
A.8	Developing an ICT Profession	252
A.9	Data Protection Compliance.....	253
Appendix B BOK Status		254
B.1	BOK SWOT Analysis.....	254
Appendix C Country Profiles.....		260
C.1	Austria.....	260
C.2	Belgium.....	262
C.3	Bulgaria.....	265
C.4	Cyprus	270
C.5	Czech Republic.....	273
C.6	Denmark.....	276
C.7	Estonia.....	280
C.8	France.....	283
C.9	Finland	287
C.10	Germany	292
C.11	Greece.....	294
C.12	Hungary	299
C.13	Ireland.....	301
C.14	Italy.....	303
C.15	Latvia	305
C.16	Lithuania	306
C.17	Luxembourg.....	309
C.18	Malta	312
C.19	Netherlands.....	316
C.20	Poland.....	318
C.21	Portugal	320

C.22	Romanian.....	322
C.23	Slovakia.....	325
C.24	Slovenia	327
C.25	Spain	329
C.26	Sweden.....	331
C.27	United Kingdom	335
Appendix D Acronyms		338
Appendix E Stakeholder Perspectives on ICT Professionalism		341
E.1	Perspectives on the framework.....	341
E.2	ICT Professionals	342
E.2.1	Notes on ICT Professionals' Perspective Diagram	342
E.3	Employers.....	344
E.3.1	Notes on Employers' Perspective Diagram	344
E.4	ICT Certification Providers/Higher Education Institutions.....	346
E.4.1	Notes on Education Providers Diagram	347
E.5	Entry into profession: students	348
E.5.1	Promote ICT Careers	348
E.5.2	Notes on Student Perspective Diagram	350
E.6	Graduate ICT Profession Entry.....	351
E.7	ICT Manager with ICT degree and certifications.....	352
E.8	ICT Practitioner with 10 years' experience but no qualifications or certificates. 353	
Appendix F European ICT Professionalism Roadmap.....		354
F.1	Roadmap components.....	354
F.2	European ICT Profession Governance (European Commission -> Multi-stakeholder).....	355
F.3	e-CF 3.0 Definition (CEN).....	355
F.4	e-CF 3.0 Supporting Collateral (CEN).....	356
F.4.1	CEN Standardisation on e-CF (CEN)	357
F.4.2	ICT extensions to Europass CV (European Commission)	357
F.4.3	Definition of ICT Career Streams (CEN)	357
F.4.4	Expansion of ICT Role Profiles (CEN)	357
F.4.5	e-CF Certification Mapping (European Commission)	357
F.4.6	e-CF National Mapping Consistency (European Commission / National Education entities)	357
F.4.7	ICT Profession Promotion (Multi-stakeholder)	358
F.4.8	Synergies with ICT Capability Frameworks (Industry/Academia)	358
F.4.9	Research on external validation (European Commission)	358
F.4.10	Detailed e-Curricula Guidelines (European Commission)	358
F.4.11	Validation of Non-formal Education and Informal Education (multi-stakeholder)	358

F.4.12	Foundational ICT Body of Knowledge Meta-Model Definition (European Commission)	359
F.4.13	Foundational ICT Body of Knowledge - Mapping (European Commission)	359
F.4.14	Foundational ICT Body of Knowledge – Promotion (Multi-stakeholder)	359
F.4.15	CEN Standardisation on Foundational ICT Body of Knowledge meta-model (CEN)	359
F.4.16	Research on meta-framework for Specialist BoKs (European Commission)	359
F.4.17	Research into meta-model for ethics (European Commission)	359
F.4.18	National Alignment to Ethics Meta-model (Multi-stakeholder)	359
F.4.19	Ethics – Promotion / Adoption (Multi-stakeholder)	359
F.4.20	On-going Industry Engagement	360
Appendix G ICT Professionalism – definitions		362
Appendix H References		371

Page intentionally left blank

1 Executive Summary

1.1 Context

This research project, launched by the European Commission Directorate General for Enterprise and Industry as part of the European Commission's on-going e-skills agenda is aimed at helping to mature the ICT profession within Europe. This objective of maturing the ICT profession is not unique to Europe: indeed, the project reflects parallel efforts to mature the ICT profession in other parts of the world, such as TechAmerica in United States and ITA in Japan.

This current project is aimed at supporting the development of a European framework for ICT professionalism, with the goal of enhancing professionalism and mobility across Europe. The project also incorporates proposals to support the development of a European training programme for ICT managers.

There are strong motives for maturing the ICT profession:-

- **ICT Skills Gaps** - Skills gaps of up to 13% are forecast over the period 2010-2015¹, potentially acting as a brake on European competitiveness and recovery given ICT's role as an enabler of business value
- **Poor image of ICT profession** – A poor public perception of the ICT profession is impacting on the numbers entering the profession
- **ICT Knowledge Deficiencies** - Low levels of ICT knowledge amongst ICT practitioners, and/or knowledge silos, preventing a view of the “big picture” of ICT, its interconnectedness, and its role in enabling organisational capability planning. In this respect, a 2011 CEPIS survey suggested that “79% of respondents may not have the breadth of e-competences required by their role”²
- **Traditional focus and reliance on Computing Science degrees** – Tertiary education providers need to adapt in order to meet the growing industry demand for ICT professionals; moreover, industry demands people from alternative professions/disciplines
- **ICT Project Failures** – Recent research from Saïd Business School³ identified cost overruns in 8 out of 10 ICT projects, and a disproportionate

¹ Empirica (2009). Monitoring e-skills demand and supply in Europe. Available at:

http://www.eskills-monitor.eu/documents/Meskills%20Scenario%20and%20Foresight%20report_final.pdf

² CEPIS (2011). CEPIS Survey of professional e-competence in Europe. Available at

<http://img8.custompublish.com/getfile.php/1727380.1488.wrvfxpfcy/CEPIS+Professional+e-Competence+European+Report.pdf?return=www.dataforeningen.no>

http://www.cepis.org/media/CEPIS_Prof_eComp_PanEU_Report_020920111.pdf

³ Flyvbjerg, B and Budzier, A (2011). Why Your IT Project may be riskier than you think. Harvard

Business Review Magazine (September 2011). Available at: <http://hbr.org/2011/09/why-your-it-project-may-be-riskier-than-you-think/>

number of so-called “ICT black swans”, with one in six projects experiencing a cost overrun of 200%.

The most important reason for change however, stems from the extent to which ICT has the potential to harm society. Professions have traditionally emerged when failure to apply domain-specific knowledge successfully would have had an adverse impact on society. As we now enter the next wave of computing, known as pervasive computing, the extent to which ICT is embedded in society will inevitably grow. If we fail to take steps to mature the profession now, it is likely that the risks to society from ICT will grow to unacceptable levels – as such, the call for action is clear.

The project work was undertaken in two phases: phase I comprised desktop research and analysis, combined with a survey of over 300 ICT experts and practitioners, in order to create the synthesis report on the state of play of ICT Professionalism. In contrast, the second phase focused on the preparation of detailed proposals for a European framework for ICT professionalism, based on the desktop research and analysis, and iterating these proposals with relevant stakeholder groups, the results of which are contained in this document.

1.2 Defining the ICT professional

Across Europe, there currently is no common understanding of the term “ICT Professional”: in some countries, the term is accepted to mean someone who has acquired relevant qualifications and competences; in other countries, individuals can practice as an ICT professional with scant knowledge and experience in the area. For this reason, as part of our research, we developed the following definition for ICT professionals, as stated below:

ICT Professionals:

- possess a comprehensive and up-to-date understanding of a relevant body of knowledge⁴,
- demonstrate on-going commitment to professional development⁵, via an appropriate combination of qualifications, certifications, work experience, non-formal and/or informal education;
- adhere to an agreed code of ethics/conduct⁶ and/or applicable regulatory practices and,
- through competent practice⁷ deliver value for stakeholders.

⁴ The term “relevant body of knowledge encompasses the requirement for a broad and deep knowledge base which is up-to-date, accommodating both a common ICT body of knowledge, and pertinent specialist knowledge and skills.

⁵ Professional development focuses on improving professional competence in a professional role, with the objective of enhancing personal performance and career progression opportunities. It can encompass both technical aspects (e.g. keeping abreast of latest technological trends) as well as non-technical aspects (e.g. developing better presentation skills).

⁶ Professionals are accountable to themselves, the ICT Profession and society, through an agreed code of ethics/conduct or applicable regulatory practices.

This definition, which was reviewed and widely accepted by the CEPIS Task Force on ICT Professionalism, and CEPIS Council members⁸, reflects the importance of the key building blocks found in other professions : Bodies of Knowledge; Education and Training; Competences and Ethics. These same building blocks constitute the foundations of the proposed framework.

1.3 Framework Proposals

Reflecting the fundamental elements of the above definition for ICT professionals, the key components of the framework are as follows:

European e-Competence Framework (e-CF) – Currently comprising 36 ICT competences and five proficiency levels, the e-CF is a simple framework aimed at providing transparency of ICT competences across organisations and countries. The e-CF allows ICT practitioners to assess their competences and proficiency in an objective and uniform fashion. ICT job roles can be defined in terms of competences and proficiency levels that practitioners understand across Europe and on a consistent basis. Certifications and qualifications can be promoted in terms of the ICT competence improvements that they in turn will deliver. As such, this represents a significant step forward in terms of promoting a common language and shared understanding of ICT competences across Europe.

The engagement of multiple stakeholders in the planned third iteration of the e-CF will enhance the robustness and scope of the framework to support fully the required use-cases, reinforcing its potential role as a Rosetta stone of ICT competences and facilitating the mobility of workers across Europe.

The e-CF is, in essence, only a framework. However, it is a cornerstone around which an ecosystem of organisations will develop in order to provide toolsets and services to facilitate its use among user stakeholders (ie the practitioners, employers, education providers and government users). Coordinated and cooperative action will be required within this ecosystem in order to achieve this goal of supporting users in their transition to the e-CF.

Additionally, e-Competences also form the basis for the ICT Professional Profiles project that defines a selection of ICT profiles with their respective e-competences and proficiency levels. In turn, this can be extended to define ICT career streams, providing practitioners with greater clarity over potential careers in ICT and the competences required to achieve this progression.

Foundational ICT Body of Knowledge meta-model – Other professions, such as medicine, engineering and law, require all members to possess a shared understanding and language of their respective domain. This well-established approach allows practitioners to understand the multiple interactions between areas of the domain (i.e. “to see the big

⁷ Competent practice communicates the concept of quality of products and services being delivered by practitioners.

⁸ CEPIS Council is the governing body of the **Council of European Professional Informatics Societies (CEPIS)**. Meetings are held twice a year and are attended by representatives from CEPIS Member Societies.

picture”), and appreciate the limitations of their own expertise (“to know what you don’t know”). By establishing a common language and level of understanding for all ICT professionals, we would aim to improve communication between professionals, as well as reducing risks within ICT projects.

We therefore recommend the definition and adoption of a foundational ICT body of knowledge that would encompass a broad range of topics (potentially including non-ICT topics); however, recognising the highly dynamic nature of ICT, we would propose a meta-modelling approach to facilitate its maintenance over time (that is to say, we would identify the areas and nature of expertise required, possibly in the form of a syllabus, as opposed to defining the actual detailed content therein). In terms of its adoption by practitioners, we would again propose a self-assessment mechanism; and clearly, employers and HR managers would have an important role to play in promoting the importance of this component.

Multiple educational paths – Industry demands professionals with the “the right skills in the right place at the right time”. However, tertiary education providers cannot realistically meet the expected demand for ICT professionals due to the inelasticity of supply. At the same time, many people wanting to progress as professionals have acquired deep expertise in the course of their ICT career, but few formal qualifications. For this reason, we must recognise and support the co-existence of different educational paths (including formal qualifications from a higher education institute, certifications from industry providers, non-formal education facilitated by employers, and informal learning). This is particularly important for the ICT profession, given the rate of change and innovation within ICT, and the need to embrace the skills and knowledge of people coming from outside the traditional computing science graduate career streams.

Ethics – while physicians in most countries are no longer required to take the Hippocratic Oath, there is still a need for physicians as with other professionals to behave ethically. In practice, a single code of ethics for all ICT practitioners across Europe is unrealistic, given the diverse languages, cultures, histories, and values possessed by each country. For this reason, we propose the alignment of national codes of ethics/conduct against a common and core set of ethical issues, as outlined in a meta-framework (e.g. a series of relevant criteria/guidelines). Such an alignment would encourage a shared sense of acceptable behaviour within the profession across Europe, and potentially more importantly, it would help to establish precedents for what could be considered unacceptable behaviour for ICT professionals. Reflecting the split of opinion on the topic of adherence, this report makes no concrete suggestions as to how to enforce such adherence to a code of ethics/conduct. If public opinion on the matter shifted considerably, it is possible that Informatics Societies and/or similar communities of professionals could adopt a role in the areas of monitoring and managing enforcement among professionals, but at present, there does not appear to be a clear demand or appetite for such a function.

1.3.1 Value Driven Framework

Some of the key facets shaping the design of the model are as follows:

Self-assessment: from the perspective of aspiring professionals, the framework is entirely based on self-assessment rather than through the introduction of new regulations; practitioners will be offered the opportunity to self-assess their competences against the European e-CF and their baseline knowledge against the Foundational ICT Body of Knowledge meta-model. There is, currently, no identified requirement for external validation (e.g. peer review of experience and competence); over time, however, some

industries may call for this (e.g. information security) but we see that this would be driven entirely by industry, if at all, as opposed to via legislative channels.

Parity: acknowledging the multiple entry paths into the ICT profession and the importance of life-long learning, equal recognition and importance is given to formal qualifications and certifications as well as non-formal and informal learning. The ICT profession will not serve itself in the long-term if entry is solely restricted to Computing graduates – the profession must recognise the merits that professionals from other backgrounds can bring.

Compatibility: the framework is aligned with and builds on existing national mechanisms. For example, the e-CF does not replace national competence frameworks, but provides a mechanism for translation between countries. Compatibility is an important criterion: Member States have different levels of maturity and different approaches to key aspects of ICT professionalism. Our proposals therefore are based on points of commonality, and seek to provide the desired benefits while respecting the need for national solutions.

Straightforward – A more complex framework might offer more sophisticated functions and value to specific industry segments, but by adopting a straightforward framework, capable of being understood and adopted by individuals, SMEs, corporates and governments, we feel that the framework stands a greater chance of being widely adopted, and therefore delivering on the anticipated benefits.

Sustainability: the proposals are aimed at establishing a profession which is essentially self-sustaining and driven by market demand, rather than developed and maintained through large-scale central investment. Implicit in constructing a sustainable model is the identification of clear value-streams for each stakeholder in order to foster its adoption, as shown at summary-level in the table below.

<u>Stakeholder</u>	<u>Value/revenue stream</u>
Practitioners	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • International recognition of ICT competences and knowledge, enhancing mobility and credibility • Improved clarity over ICT career paths and competence requirements
Employer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduced cost, effort, and time to recruit, deploy, train and develop suitable ICT resources, as well as improved capability to align ICT resources with business requirements • Competent professional IT workforce, reflecting industry demand
Education Provider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased market size opportunities resulting from improved transparency and comparability of educational offerings • Improved demand for education to support life-long learning requirements
Local/National/European Government Entities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhanced visibility of supply and demand of ICT skills, helping to provide a robust and granular basis for informed policy setting at local, national and European level • Enhanced competitiveness on a global stage resulting from improved efficiencies within industry
Professional Associations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opportunity to mature the ICT profession and boost membership

- Stronger role in communicating/shaping emerging industry practice
- Trade Unions**
- Promote interests of ICT workers at organisational, national and international level (e.g. employment prospects, security)
 - Potential for increased union strength and coherence
- Society**
- Reduction in risks emanating from an increasingly ICT-enabled society
 - Improved employment prospects

Table 1 Summary of stakeholder responsibilities

1.3.2 Action Points

As part of the project, we identified a series of action points to support the maturing of the profession in the short to medium term.

Action	Description
1	Establish a scalable and sustainable operating model for promoting ICT professionalism in Europe
2	Form/Mobilise ICT Professionalism stakeholder entities at national and European level
3	Establish and promote adoption of a European meta model for a common foundational ICT body of knowledge (BoK), (for use by educational providers)
4	Drive broad adoption of the European e-Competence Framework (e-CF) within education providers, ICT practitioners and employers
5	Develop pan-European ICT professional career streams
6	Investigate synergies between practitioner ICT competency frameworks and organizational ICT capability frameworks
7	Promote confidence in educational mapping to the e-CF through auditing
8	Provide paths for validation of non-formal ICT education and training as well as informal ICT learning
9	Drive adoption among organisations and ICT professionals of national codes of ethics & conduct aligned with a European meta framework of ethical issues
10	Enhance links between ICT professionalism stakeholders within Europe and globally (eg via annual ICT Professionalism workshop)

Table 2 Selected Action Points

1.4 Conclusion

In the course of this project, we have engaged with many experts and practitioners (>300) in order to understand the current state of ICT professionalism in Europe (see interim report: <http://ictprof.eu/index.shtml>) as well as comprehend what aspects of professionalism are important to different stakeholders. This insight and knowledge has helped to shape the proposals contained in this document and we have continued this dialogue with different stakeholders throughout Phase II in order to continually test and refine our proposals.

The proposals developed are for the consideration not only of the European Commission but also for EU Member States, education providers, industry participants, as well as other

relevant stakeholders. This is an important distinction as the European Commission is only one player in a large-scale change process demanding the engagement of multiple stakeholders. The European Commission can play a vital role in facilitating engagement and collaboration between interested parties, as well as facilitating the development of artefacts which cannot realistically be produced by any single Member State or organisation. However, engagement by national governments, as well as industry and education providers is also essential to support the sustainability of the proposed framework. Moreover, actions must be coordinated and aligned in order to successfully exploit the best practices, and lessons learned.

We believe that this document reflects a rational, pragmatic response to a real-world problem. In reality, however, the true test of our proposals is the extent to which different stakeholders across Europe accept them and adopt the shared goals and approach as their own.

Establishing a profession takes many years. Moreover, as we propose introducing changes to established ways of working, there will invariably be individuals and organisations opposed to aspects of the proposals. We both recognise this as inevitable and in many ways, desirable – stimulating dialogue and engagement was one of the original objectives of this project, and on-going communication, cooperation and collaboration with relevant stakeholders are determinant in achieving the desired goals in the long term.